Annex 3 Flood Risk Areas

ANNEX 3: Field:	Records of Flood Ris Flood Risk Area ID	k Areas and their ratio Name of Flood Risk Area	nale (preliminary assess National Grid Reference	ment report spreadshee Main source of flooding	Additional source(s) of flooding	Confidence in main source of flooding	Main mechanism of flooding	Main characteristic of flooding
Mandatory / optional: Format: Notes:	Mandatory Unique number between 1-9999 A sequential number starting at 1 and incrementing by 1 for each record.	Mandatory Max 250 characters Name of the locality associated with the Flood Risk Area; a town, city, or county.	Mandatory 12 characters: 2 letters, 10 numbers National Grid Reference of the centroid (centre point, falls within polygon) of the Flood Risk Area.	Mandatory Pick from drop-down Pick the source from which there is a significant flood risk. Refer to the PFRA guidance for definitions of sources.	Optional Max 250 characters, same source terms If there is also significant flood risk generated by another source (other than the Main source of flooding), report the source(s) here, using the same source terms.	(compelling evidence of source - about 80% confident that source is correct), 'Medium' (some evidence of source but not compelling - about 50% confident that source is correct) 'Low' (source assumed - about 20% confident	exceedance' (of capacity), 'Defence exceedance' (floodwater overtopping defences), 'Failure' (of natural or artificial defences or infrastructure, or of pumping), 'Blockage or restriction' (natural or	precipitation, at a slower rate than a flash flood), 'Snow melt flood' (due to rapid snow melt), 'Debris flow' (conveying a high degree of debris), or
Example:	1	London	SX1234512345	Surface runoff	NA	High	Natural exceedance	Natural flood
Records begin here:		1 Aldershot, Hampshire	SU8698956592	Surface runoff		High	Natural exceedance	Natural flood

Annex 3 Flood Risk Areas

Significant consequences to human health	Human health consequences - residential properties	Property count method	Other human health consequences	Significant economic consequences	Number of non- residential properties flooded	Property count method	Other economic consequences	Significant consequences to the environment	· ·	Significant consequences to cultural heritage	Cultural heritage consequences
Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Number between 1- 10,000,000	Optional Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 250 characters	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Number between 1- 10,000,000	Optional Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 250 characters	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 250 characters	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Optional Max 250 characters
consequences to human health?	Record the number of residential properties where the building structure would be affected either internally or externally by the flood.	counted, it is important to record the method of counting, to aid	If the Flood Risk Area has been identified as a result of other Significant consequences to human health, describe them (such as information about the number of critical services flooded).	Has the Flood Risk Area been identified as a result of significant economic consequences?	Record the number of	non-residential properties have been counted, it is important to record the method of counting, to aid comparisons between	consequences, describe them (such as information about the area of agricultural land flooded, length of roads and rail flooded).	Area been identified as a result of significant consequences to the environment?	If the Flood Risk Area has been identified as a result of Significant consequences to the environment, describe them (such as information about national and international designated sites flooded, and pollution sources flooded).	a result of significant consequences to	If the Flood Risk Area has been identified as a result of Significant consequences to cultural heritage, describe them (such as information about the number and type of heritage assets flooded).
Yes	50000	Detailed GIS		No				No		No	
Yes		Simple GIS		No		Simple GIS		No		No	

Annex 3 Flood Risk Areas

Origin of Flood Risk Area	Amended Flood Risk Area rationale	New Flood Risk Area rationale	Rationale detail	European Flood Risk Area Code
Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Pick from drop-down	Mandatory Max 1,000 characters	Auto-populated Max 42 characters
either; 'Indicative' Flood Risk Area, 'Amended' Flood Risk Area (in which case Amended Flood Risk Area rationale is mandatory), or 'New' Flood Risk Area (in which case New Flood Risk Area rationale is mandatory).	from either; 'Geography', 'Past floods', or 'Future floods'. Then provide further detail in Rationale detail. This is not mandatory if the Flood Risk Area was	from either 'Past floods', or 'Future floods'. Then provide further detail in Rationale detail. This is	Summarise the rationale for amending an indicative Flood Risk Area, or identifying a new Flood Risk Area. Refer to Defra & WAG guidance to LLFAs on "Selecting and reviewing Flood Risk Areas for local sources of flooding". If the Flood Risk Area was an indicative Flood Risk Area and has not been amended, record "indicative Flood Risk Area".	This field will autopopulate using the LLFA name provided on the "Instructions" tab, and the Flood Risk Area ID. It is an EU-wide unique identifier and will be used to report the Flood Risk Area information. Format: UK <ons code=""><a><llfa flood="" id="">. "ONS Code" is a unique reference for each LLFA. "A" indicates it is a Flood Risk Area. "LLFA Flood ID" is a sequential number beginning with 0001.</llfa></ons>
Indicative	NA	NA	indicative Flood Risk Area	UKE10000012A0001
Indicative			The County Council accepts the principle of a FRA in this locality. The boundary has been reviewed and compared with the information held for this area. Natural watershed boundaries The Cove brook runs through the cluster boundary as indicated by the FRA area and there is an associated catchment area that feeds into the Cove brook. Whilst it is recognised that this area is heavily developed and is likely to have infrastructure such as sewers, buildings and roads that alter the more natural state. Existing infrastructure boundaries There are also infrastructure within the IFRA. This includes the M3, B3014 and A3011. To the east of the IFRA is the River Blackwater and the A331 Blackwater valley relief road. The river Blackwater forms the boundary between Hampshire and Surrey. Historical flooding There is existing flood risk associated with the main rivers in the Cove brook and the Blackwater, away from the fluvial flood risk elements, there are a number of incidents of flooding reported. There are limited reports of flooding in relation to Farnborough Airport and to the north in Hawley Common. At this stage in the process it is proposed to proceed with the IFRA based on the current boundary. However, as work progresses it may be necessary to amend the FRA to have regard to the natural watershed and to better reflect the hydrology of the area. Also Farnborough Airfield was investigated in some detail as part of the Rushmor Surface Water Management Plan and the drainage on site discharges via 2 outfalls with sufficient attenuation on site to take account of the 1:100 storm event. Potentially negating the need to include the arifield within the FRA. Note: the IFRA is centrered on Farnborough, Hampshire, it is therefore confusing and inappropariate for it to be refered to as Aldershot which is not included in the IFRA.	UKE09000002A0001

LLFA ab, and ide eport the Flood ice for Risk number				